

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,932	04/08/2004	Samuel S. Fields	41615-0003	9731
20822	7590 07/06/2005		EXAMINER	
RUDEN, MCCLOSKY, SMITH, SCHUSTER & RUSSELL, P.A. P.O. BOX 1900 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301			CHAN, KO HUNG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3632	
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/820,932	FIELDS, SAMUEL S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Korie H. Chan	3632			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0 8	<u> 3 April 2004</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex part</i> e Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a		the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		· ·			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<u>-</u>	ian priority under 25 LLC C 5 44	O(a) (d) az (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreia) All b) Some * c) None of:	ight phonty under 35 0.5.C. § 11	9(a)-(u) 01 (1).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		ication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p					
application from the International Bure		Leiveu III tills National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l		eived.			
Attendance out (a)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumr	mary (PTO 412)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) U Other:				
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 06232005			

Application/Control Number: 10/820,932

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1, line 5, "potion" should - - portion- -. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1, line 5, "having a bottom lips" is vague and indefinite. Applicant has a single lip on each end and not plural. Thus "lips" should be corrected to "lip".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith (US patent no. 3,151,793). Smith discloses a holder inherently capable of being a condiment container holder comprising a plurality of walls (12 and 13) each walls having

Application/Control Number: 10/820,932

Art Unit: 3632

a top lip (bend portion at top edge of wall 20, figure 4) on an upper portion thereof for retaining container (18), a bottom (11, figure 2) engaged to plurality of walls, the bottom having a bottom lip (16 and 17) on each end portion thereof and the bottom having a condiment well (26) formed therein inherently capable of receiving liquid condiment.

Applicant's recitation to the liquid condiment is of intended use. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (US patent no. 3,151,793) in view of Feerick (US patent no. 2,491,652). Smith disclosed all the claimed features of applicant's invention except for a condiment container. Feerick teaches a holder supporting condiment containers (15). It would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/820,932 Page 4

Art Unit: 3632

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to place condiment containers into the holder of Smith for holding condiments as taught to be desirably by Surber.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (US patent no. 3,151,793) in view of Grondin (US patent no. 3,168,275). Smith disclosed all the claimed features of applicant's invention except for using adhesive material for mounting to engage the bottom or wall. Smith uses fasteners to mount the holder. However, to substitute mounting fasteners with adhesives are notoriously old and well-known. Such modification has the known advantage of eliminating damage to a wall surface to which the holder is to be mounted. Grondin teaches a holder with walls (20) with lips (24) and bottom (18) engage the walls wherein releasable adhesive material (30, figure 2, 32, figure 3) can engage the bottom (18, figure 2) or the wall (20, figure 3) to alternatively mount the holder under different conditions. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art to substitute the mounting fasteners of Smith with releasable adhesive as taught by Grondin to prevent damage to a wall surface and to provide the adhesive material at either the bottom or wall as taught by Grondin to facilitate alternative mounting under different orientation.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining cited prior art of record demonstrate holder with walls connected by a bottom.

Application/Control Number: 10/820,932 Page 5

Art Unit: 3632

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Korie H. Chan whose telephone number is 571-272-6816. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on 571-272-6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Korie H. Chan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632

khc June 28, 2005